Triangle Core Decomposition and Maximum Cliques

Ryan A. RossiDavid F. GleichAssefaw H. GebremedhinPurdue UniversityPurdue UniversityPurdue University

Consider a graph G = (V, E). A k-core of G is a maximal induced subgraph of G where each vertex has degree at least k. There is a linear time O(|E| + |V|) time algorithm to compute the maximum k such that a vertex is in a k-core for all vertices in the graph [1]. Because of this efficient algorithm, and a simple, but often powerful, interpretation, k-cores are frequently utilized to study modern networks. Important k-core related quantities include the size of the 2-core compared with the graph, the distribution of k-core sizes, the largest k-core, and many similar quantities. In particular, the maximum value of k such that there is a k - 1-core in G is known as the coloring number of a graph and provides an upper-bound on the largest clique in G. In this abstract, we will discuss a recently proposed generalization of k-cores to a triangle motif [2], refine a procedure to compute them to enable computations on large graphs, and use the relationship between largest triangle core to confirm that a heuristic maximum clique finder discovers the optimal solution for many social and information networks.

An equivalent definition of a k-core is a maximal induced subgraph of G where each vertex is incident on at least k edges. This definition then generalizes to any motif, and in particular, a triangle k-core is the maximal induced subgraph of G for which each edge $(u, v) \in E$ participates in at least k triangles [2]. The triangle core number T(u, v) of an edge $(u, v) \in E$ is the highest order core that contains that edge. Similarly, the maximum triangle core of G is denoted T(G). There is also a polynomial time algorithm for triangle cores, which is $O(|T|) = O(|E|^{3/2})$ in the worst case [2].

This algorithm to compute triangle k-cores proceeds in the same fashion as an edge k-core method. Let us review: to compute an edge k-core, we repeatedly remove all vertices of degree less than k. For triangle k-cores, then, we repeatedly remove all edges that participate in fewer than k-triangles. The insight that led to the O(|V| + |E|) algorithm for edge k-cores, is that we can run this procedure for all k iteratively because the cores are nested [1]. The same fact is true of triangle cores [2]. In that triangle k-core algorithm, however, they explicitly store an array of triangles in order to check whether or not a triangle has been processed. This storage limits scalability as graphs may have billions of triangles with only millions of edges – see the Hollywood graph in Table 1. In the algorithm we present here, we

Algorithm 1 Fast Triangle Core Decomposition											
1 procedure TRIANGLECORES $(G = (V, E))$											
2	for each $(u, v) \in E$ do										
3	Set $T(u, v)$ to be the number of triangles involving (u, v)										
4	Bucket sort the edges by increasing triangle count										
5	while there are remaining edges do										
6	Let (u, v) be the edge with smallest $T(u, v)$										
$\overline{7}$	Index all neighbors of u via remaining edges										
8	for each edge (v, w) that remains with w in the index do										
9	Subtract 1 from $T(u, w)$ and $T(v, w)$										
10	and update the bucket sort										
11	Mark (u, v) removed.										



Figure 1: Triangle cores 0, 1, and 2.

Table 1: d_{\max} and d_{avg} are the largest and average degrees, respectively. Also, $\bar{\kappa}$ is the mean clustering coefficient; |T| is the total triangles, T_{\max} and T_{avg} are the maximum number and average number of triangles incident on a vertex; K is an upper bound on ω from edge k-cores, T is an upper bound on ω from triangle k-cores, and $\tilde{\omega}$ is the size of a clique from our heuristic. The timings measure how long our heuristic, triangle counts, and triangle cores took, respectively.

Graph	V	E	T	d_{\max}	d_{avg}	$\bar{\kappa}$	T_{\max}	$T_{\rm avg}$	K	T	$\tilde{\omega}$	$\tilde{\omega}$ sec	Δ sec	T sec
dblp-2010 citeseer hollywood	226k 227k 1.1M	716k 814k 56M	4.8M 8.1M 15B	238 1.4k 11k	${6 \over 7} 105$	$0.64 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.77$	5.9k 5.4k 4.0M	21 35 13k	$75 \\ 87 \\ 2209$	75 87 2209	75 87 2209	$0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 1.57$	$0.10 \\ 0.09 \\ 38.93$	$0.29 \\ 0.43 \\ 727.2$
YOUTUBE ORKUT LIVEJOUR FRIENDSTER	496k 3.0M 4.0M 65M	1.9M 106M 28M 1.8B	7.3M 1.6B 251M 12.5B	25k 27k 2.7k 5.2k	7 70 13 55	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.16 \end{array}$	151k 1.3M 80k 190	14 525 62 158k	$50 \\ 231 \\ 214 \\ 305$	19 75 214 129*	$14 \\ 45 \\ 214 \\ 129$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.42 \\ 13.8 \\ 3.2 \\ 561 \end{array}$	0.71 38.73 3.92 2616	$15.92 \\770.5 \\54.3 \\45247$
Texas84 Penn94	36k 42k	1.6M 1.4M	34M 22M	6.3k 4.4k	$87 \\ 65$	$0.19 \\ 0.21$	141k 68k	922 520	82 63	$\begin{array}{c} 62 \\ 48 \end{array}$	48 44	$\begin{array}{c} 0.070 \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.34 \\ 0.22 \end{array}$	$4.80 \\ 3.27$
P2P-GNUT AS-SKITTER	63k 1.7M	148k 11M	6.1k 86M	95 35k	4 13	$0.01 \\ 0.26$	17 565k	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 50 \end{array}$	7 112	4^{\star} 68	4 64	$0.01 \\ 0.37$	$0.01 \\ 4.33$	0.02 70.6
uk-05 wikipedia	130k 1.9M	12M 4.5M	2.5B 6.7M	850 2.6k	181 4	$0.99 \\ 0.16$	124k 12k	19k 3	500 67	$500 \\ 31^{\star}$	$500 \\ 31$	$0.05 \\ 0.66$	$2.52 \\ 0.54$	$12.40 \\ 3.54$

can accomplish the same type of check implicitly by carefully ordering and indexing. Eliminating this storage reduces the runtime and memory requirements considerably: 443 seconds to 60 seconds and 7GB of memory to 500MB (LiveJournal graph from [2]).

In Algorithm 1, we present the refinement of the algorithm to remove the global triangle marks. At the conclusion, the array T(u, v) contains the triangles core numbers for each edge. In the loop over all edges, we treat each edge only once, and remove it once we have visited all of its triangles. The inner-loop only visits a triangle (u, v, w) once because, after that loop, edge (u, v) is removed from the graph. This algorithm works by removing edges (u, v) with minimal triangle core number, and thus, once we remove all edges, we'll have the maximum triangle core numbers for each edge.

Now, we discuss the implications of triangle cores on clique finding. As noted in ref. [2], if a graph has a clique of size k, then it must have a triangle core of size k-2. Hence, if T is the maximum triangle core, then T+2 is an upper bound on the size of the maximum clique ω in G. Thus, we have the following bounds: $\omega \leq T+2 \leq K+1 \leq d_{max}$ where K is the maximum k-core and d_{max} is the maximum degree in G. We have also found that the triangle core bound is sometimes tighter than an approximate chromatic number $\tilde{\chi}$ from a greedy coloring that uses k-core ordering. We expect that using the triangle cores in a greedy coloring scheme ought to improve a coloring bound further, but in practice, we find that the largest triangle core is often close to the maximum clique size. See the table.

Given that we have an upper bound on the largest clique size, we use a heuristic procedure to find a large clique in the graph. Our heuristic prunes vertices and their neighborhoods by edge k-cores and only searches vertices with an edge k-core bigger than the largest clique found thus far. At each step of the heuristic clique growing procedure, vertices are selected by greedily choosing the vertex with largest edge k-core number among the search candidates. We find this produces large cliques quickly.

In Table 1, we use the heuristic to compute $\tilde{\omega}$, and use the triangle cores to compute T, an upper bound on the maximum clique size. We can compute the triangle cores relatively quickly, it takes minutes and hours to handle graph with hundreds of millions or billions of edges, but the memory load is feasible on a modern desktop.

In the future, we plan to study ways to use the triangle cores to get better upper-bounds on the clique size by coloring the graph.

- V. Batagelj and M. Zaversnik. An o (m) algorithm for cores decomposition of networks. arXiv preprint cs/0310049, 2003.
- Y. Zhang and S. Parthasarathy. Extracting analyzing and visualizing triangle k-core motifs within networks. In *ICDE*, pages 1049–1060, 2012.