From Closing Triangles to Closing Higher-Order Motifs
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ABSTRACT

This work introduces higher-order ranking and link prediction methods based on closing higher-order network motifs. In particular, we propose the general notion of a motif closure that goes beyond simple triangle closures and demonstrate that these new motif closures often outperform triangle-based methods. This result implies that one should consider other motif closures beyond simple triangles. We also find that the "best" motif closure depends highly on the underlying network and its structural properties. Furthermore, the methods are fast and efficient for real-time applications such as online visitor stitching, web search, and recommendation. The experimental results indicate the importance of these new motif closures. Finally, the new motif closures can serve as a basis for developing better (un)supervised ranking/link prediction methods.

1 HIGHER-ORDER MOTIF CLOSURES

We first formally define the notion of a higher-order motif closure:

**Definition 1 (Motif Closure).** A node pair \((i, j)\) is said to close a motif \(H\) iff adding an edge \((i, j)\) to \(E\) closes an instance \(F \in \mathcal{I}_G(H)\) of motif \(H\) where \(G' = (V, E \cup \{(i, j)\})\) and \(\mathcal{I}_G(H)\) is the set of unique instances of motif \(H\) in \(G'\).

A few examples of higher-order motif closures are shown in Figure 1. The edge \((i, j)\) shown as a dotted line in Figure 1 closes each motif. For instance, the edge between node \(i\) and \(j\) in the rightmost motif in Figure 1 closes a 4-clique. We now formally introduce the frequency of higher-order motif closures for a node pair \((i, j)\) as follows:

**Definition 2 (Higher-Order Motif Closure Frequency).** Let \(G' = (V, E')\) where \(E' = E \cup \{(i, j)\}\) and let \(\mathcal{I}_G(H)\) be the set of unique instances of motif \(H\) in \(G'\). Then the frequency of closing a higher-order motif \(H\) between node \(i\) and \(j\) is:

\[
W_{ij} = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{I}_G(H)} \mathbb{I}(\{(i, j)\} \in E'(F)) \tag{1}
\]

where \(W_{ij}\) is equal to the number of unique instances of \(H\) that contain nodes \((i, j)\) as an edge.

We provide a simple routine in Alg. 1 for computing the weight \(W_{ij}\) representing the frequency of closing motif \(H\) between node \(i\) and \(j\). The approach has two simple steps. First, given an arbitrary node pair \((i, j)\), a motif \(H\) of interest, and the current graph \(G = (V, E)\), we simply add the node pair \((i, j)\) as an edge by setting \(E' \leftarrow E \cup \{(i, j)\}\) and \(G' = (V, E')\) (Alg. 1 Line 1). This can be performed implicitly without additional work. However, it is shown in Alg. 1 since after adding \((i, j)\) to the edge set, we can use the fastest known algorithm [1] for counting the occurrences of motif (induced subgraph/graphlet) \(H\) between node \(i\) and \(j\) in \(G'\). Second, we compute the number of instances of motif \(H\) that contain nodes \(i\) and \(j\) in \(G'\) (Alg. 1 Line 2). Given a set \(Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_l\}\) of nodes (items, ads, songs, friends) to be ranked, Alg. 1 computes \(W_{ij} = f(x_i, y_j)\), \(\forall j = 1, \ldots, |Y|\).

Algorithm 1: Higher-Order Motif Closures

**Input:** a graph \(G = (V, E)\), node pair \((i, j)\), and network motif/graphlet \(H\)  
**Output:** the frequency \(W_{ij}\) of motif closures of \(H\) for nodes \(i\) and \(j\)

1. Set \(E' \leftarrow E \cup \{(i, j)\}\) and \(G' = (V, E')\)
2. Use fast algorithm [1, 4] to compute \(W_{ij} = \#\) of occurrences of motif \(H\) between node \(i\) and \(j\) in \(G'\)

2 EXPERIMENTS

The experiments investigate the following key questions:

**Q1** Do other motif closures perform better than triangle closure and its variants for some graphs?  
**Q2** Does the "best" motif closure depend highly on the underlying network and its structural properties or is there one motif closure that always outperforms the others?

For comparison, we use triangle closure (common neighbors) and its variants since these have the same desired properties as the higher-order motif closure methods described in this paper. We hold-out 10% of the observed node pairs uniformly at random and randomly sample the same number of negative node pairs. We repeat this 10 times and average the results. We then use the methods to obtain a ranking of the node pairs in this set. Recall the proposed techniques do not require learning a sophisticated model nor do they require training data. As such, the notion of motif closure proposed in this work can be used in a real-time streaming fashion and has many other advantages to more sophisticated model-based approaches. Mean Average Precision (MAP) results are provided in Table 1.

**RESULT 1:** Higher-order motif closures can outperform triangle closure (common neighbors) and other methods based on it.
**Table 1: Mean average precision (MAP) results for ranking (and prediction) methods based on closing higher-order motifs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>bn-mouse</th>
<th>bn-macaque-rhe.road-minnesotasoc-fb-messages</th>
<th>ia-reality</th>
<th>web-polblogs</th>
<th>eco-wm1</th>
<th>econ-wm1</th>
<th>econ-wm1</th>
<th>econ-wm1</th>
<th>econ-wm1</th>
<th>soc-advogato</th>
<th>road-minnesota</th>
<th>soc-fb-messages</th>
<th>email-EU</th>
<th>email-univ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-path</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-star</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-cycle</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-tailed-triangle</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-chordal-cycle</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-clique</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In nearly all cases, the higher-order motif closures achieve better precision than techniques based on closing lower-order triangles. This result has a number of important implications. First, it implies that one should also consider other motif closures that go beyond simple triangles. Second, it brings new opportunities for research on different and more useful variants based on the new motif closures.

**Result 2.** The best motif closure depends highly on the structural characteristics of the graph and its domain (biological vs. social network) as shown in Table 1.

This implies that no single motif closure will always perform best for all graphs and is consistent with the no-free-lunch theorem [5]. Furthermore, different structural properties are known to be important for different network domains, and these higher-order motifs capture the important structural properties since they cover the full spectrum of 4-node induced subgraphs. In Table 1, biological and brain networks achieve best performance using the ranking given by 4-cycle and 4-star closures. This also holds true for the interaction (ia-reality) and road network investigated. The 4-star and 4-cycle motif closures are more sparse compared to the 4-chordal-cycle (paw motif) and 4-clique motif closure. In the web graph, economic, and social networks, both the 4-chordal-cycle (diamond motif closure) and 4-clique motif closure achieve significantly better performance than the other motif closures. Notice that both these motifs closures are composed of two or more triangles and thus can be seen as a stronger triadic closure motif.

**Runtime Performance:** We report the average runtime in milliseconds to compute all motif closures for each node pair in G. The methods were implemented in python and all experiments were performed on a laptop (MacBook Pro 2017, 3.1 GHz Intel Core i7, 16GB RAM). For most graphs, it takes less than a millisecond on average as shown in Figure 2 and therefore is fast for large-scale ranking problems. Note these results include the runtime to compute 3-node motif closures as well (and thus includes methods such as common neighbors), since the algorithm used to count them leverages 3-node motifs to derive the 4-node motifs efficiently [1].

**Result 3.** For any 4-node motif H, counting the number of motif closures W_H that would arise if an edge between i and j was added to G is fast taking less than a millisecond on average across all graphs.
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